UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| UNITED STATES OF AMER | RICA, | |
|---|--|--|
| | Plaintiff,) | No. 2:05-cr-145 JAM |
| v. |) | |
| DENITO LE DEGLA |) | DETENTION ORDER |
| BENITO LE DESMA, |) | (Violation of Pretrial Release, Probation or Supervised Release) |
| | Defendant.) | Trocurron or supervisou recense, |
| After a hearing finds: | pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § | § 3148 (violation of pretrial release order), the court |
| | - | elieve the person has committed a federal, |
| | | lease and defendant has not rebutted the |
| presumption that his release will endanger another or the community or there is clear and convincing evidence that defendant has violated | | |
| | r condition of release <u>ar</u> | |
| | | s set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) there is no |
| | | on of conditions of release that will assure that the or pose a danger to the safety of another person or |
| | the community or | of pose a danger to the safety of another person of |
| | the person is unlike | ely to abide by any condition or combination of F.R.Cr.P. 32.1(a)(D), 46(c), 18 U.S.C. § 3148. |
| (violation of pr to believe defer defendant has r | robation or supervised rendant has violated a connot met his burden of estable or pose a danger to | 32.1(a)(6) and 46(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143 elease) the court finds there is probable cause addition of probation or supervised release and tablishing by clear and convincing evidence another person or to the community. 18 |
| | - | 3142(i)(2)-(4) defendant is committed to the corrections facility separate, to the extent |
| | | es or being held in custody pending appeal. The |
| defendant shall be afforded re- | asonable opportunity for | r private consultation with his counsel. Upon |
| | | t of an attorney for the United States the person in |
| Marshal for purpose of an app | | s confined shall deliver defendant to a United States with a court proceeding. |
| DATED: 11/5/2010 | | |

U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE